IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI GREENVILLE DIVISION

EARNEST SMITH (# 42190)

PLAINTIFF

v.

No. 4:07CV38-P-A

CHRISTOPHER EPPS, ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT OR ORDER

This matter comes before the court on the plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the

court's March 29, 2007, memorandum opinion and final judgment dismissing the instant case.

The court interprets the motion, using the liberal standard for pro se litigants set forth in Haines

v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519 (1972), as a motion for relief from a judgment or order under FED. R.

CIV. P. 60. An order granting relief under Rule 60 must be based upon: (1) clerical mistakes, (2)

mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect, (3) newly discovered evidence, (4) fraud or

other misconduct of an adverse party, (5) a void judgment, or (6) any other reason justifying

relief from the operation of the order. The plaintiff has neither asserted nor proven any of the

specific justifications for relief from an order permitted under Rule 60. In addition, the plaintiff

has not presented "any other reason justifying relief from the operation" of the judgment. As

such, the plaintiff's request for reconsideration is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED, this the 16th day of May, 2007.

/s/ W. Allen Pepper, Jr.

W. ALLEN PEPPER, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE